Date:	
To:	Texas Department of Criminal Justice Board
	Attn: Executive Director
	P.O. Box 99 Huntsville, TX 77340-0099
-	t: <u>Retaliation & Conspiracy to Violate Offenders' First Amendment Rights</u>
RE:	(facility name)
Dear [Director,
and re	The primary problem concerns Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) Prison Officials' conspiratorial practice to e inmates' First Amendment Right. Part of this practice consists of manifold reprisals and deliberate disregard for rules egulations which govern their duty to receive, process and answer inmate grievances (I-127s) in compliance with TDCJ der Handbook, I-202 (Rev. 11/02), Grievance Procedures for Offenders (Chapter 1). See also AD-03.82 (rev. 5): gement of Offender Grievances, and Board Policy 03.77: Offender Grievances.
Offend	According to the TDCJ Handbook, Grievance Procedure for Offenders, Chapter 1, Subsection F, the purpose of the der Grievance Procedure is to:
	"Provide a vehicle for review of department policies, procedures, practices, conditions, incidents and actions which may sely affect an inmate's welfare, status and program," and to "provide for resolution for grievances at the lowest possible with timely responses to the appellant."
<i>investi</i> any of	However, when we exercise use of the grievance procedure, Prison Officials join together to harass and retaliate (P.D. r. 12), R. 22b, "harassing or retaliating against an offender or another individual for participating in an official gation/inquiry or for pursuing legal activities (i.e. petitioning the courts) - Violation Level 1") against us with a combination of the following unethical acts. We are also subject to mistreatment per (P.D. 22(rev. 12), R. 23, "Mistreatment of ders"). I have personally experienced the harassment which I have marked with an X:
	2) Give general answers that purport review but that do not provide actual redress. 3) Refuse to give receipt for I-127s.
	4) Claim to never have received I-127s from offenders.
	5) Claim to return I-127 to offender (offender never receives I-127) 6) Use the Offender Appeals Screening Form (I-127 back revised 9-1-2001) to manipulate improper, excessive and
	repetitive delays (violation of P.D. 22(rev.12), R.10).
	7) Detaching and discarding offender's supporting documents from I-127s and returning I-127 to inmate with written statement refusing to process I-127 (or grant favorable hearing) because no supporting documents accompany I-127 (violation of P.D. 22(rev.12), R. 32).
	8) Dishonesty (P.D. 22(rev.12), R. 10) in "screening out" I-127s. This unethical method is used in the "rejection criteria" to obstruct the I-127s from ever being processed.
	9) Reporting deliberate false information (P.D. 22(rev.12), R.10) in the course of responding to I- 127s.
	10) The use of biased "Unit Grievance Investigators" that investigate to the offender's detriment.
	11) Punitive and harassing cell searches (P.D. 22(rev.12), R. 22(b)) to dissuade submittal of I-127s, or distribution of the name and housing location of a complaining griever to fellow Officers for the purpose of retaliation 12) Intra-facility, intra-housing unit, inter-cell transfers; reclassification, subsequent unassignment, and disregard
	for reassignment, etc.
	13) Other:

<u>Overview</u>

Such acts of moral turpitude are some of the dirty tricks used in their collusive practice of reprisals. They are concerted acts which violate the conspiracy laws of Title 42 U.S.C. 1995(3) and 1986, and the Texas Penal Code. They are underhanded attempts to assail our efforts to be heard - to frustrate our use of the grievance procedure - and render the "vehicle for review" ineffective. Thus, we become disturbed with a mental anguish that compels us to grab and adopt other means and channels through which to seek and obtain resolution.

The United States Supreme Court requires inmates to exhaust administrative review before entering the arena of judicial review. However, this process becomes unfairly difficult when TDCJ Prison Officials tamper with the appeals process.

TDCJ Prison Officials should understand and respect the reality (Law) that grievances filed through an official grievance procedure are constitutionally protected and deliberate interference with its procedure may result in civil or prosecutorial penalty.

Conclusion

This problem permeates the prison population and adversely affects numerous offenders. Some offenders decline to use the grievance procedure due to fear of reprisals. Others have witnessed uncorrected problems that fellow offenders continue to experience and feel the use of the I-127 lacks corrective action. Nevertheless, I persist in spite of the onslaught of reprisals. I suffer from many of the aforementioned unethical acts. I also have unanswered I-127s in desperate need of location and resolution.

Therefore, I request a thorough and appropriate investigation to ascertain necessary facts to correct this unlawful problem. Thank you in advance.

		Respectfully Submitted,	
	Signature:		
	Name, ID#:		
additional Information (Log #, comments, description of event, etc.):			
	·		

CC: TDCJ Legal Affairs, Attn: Leonard Peck, P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TC 77342-0099

TDCJ - Office of the Inspector General, Investigations Department, P.O. Box 4003, Huntsville, TX 77342 United States Department of Justice - Civil Rights Division, Special Litigation Section, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, PHB, Washington, D.C. 20530

State Bar of Texas, Grievance Commission, 1414 Colorado, Austin, TX 78701

ACLU of Texas, Lisa Graybill, Executive Director, P.O. Box 12905, Austin, TX 78711

Chairman of the Board of Crim. Justice, Eric Nichols, PO Box 13084 Austin, TX 78711

Cmte. on Criminal Justice, P.O. Box 12068, Capitol Station, Austin, TX 78711

TDC| Offender Grievance Program, Jessica Riley, 9011 Normal Park #101A Huntsville TX 77320

Central Grievance Office, P.O. Box 99, Huntsville, TX 77342-0099

Governor Greg Abbott, 1100 San Jacinto, Austin, TX 78701

TX Civil Rights Project, Attn: Atty Scott Medlock, 1405 Montopolis Dr., Austin, TX 78741-3438

Brandi Grissom, Texas Tribune, 823 Congress Ave., Suite 210, Austin, TX 78701

Representative Carl O. Sherman, Caiptal, PO Box 2910, Austin, TX 78768-2910

(Cross out address above if you were unable to send them a copy of this petition.)